Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control, 25(3), 2015, 619-623 Proceeding of 4<sup>th</sup> International Conference, ESPCP2015, Cairo, Egypt, 19-22 October 2015

Biological Aspects of the Aphid Parasitoid *Aphelinus albipodus* (Hayat & Fatima) (Hymenoptera: Aphelinidae) Parasitizing Mealy Plum Aphid *Hyalopterus pruni* (Geoffroy) (Homoptera, Aphidoidea)

## Askar\* S. I. and M. M. El-Hussieni\*\*

\*Plant Protection Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Damanhour University, Damanhour, Egypt.

\*\*Biological Control Center, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt.

(Received: October 19, 2015 and Accepted: December 25, 2015)

### **ABSTRACT**

The aphid parasitoid, *Aphelinus albipodus* Hayat & Fatima (Hym.: Aphelinidae) is one of the common aphid parasitoid species in Egypt. Some of the biological aspects of the parasitoid when parasitizing the mealy plum aphid *Hyalopterus pruni* (Geoffroy) (Homoptera: Aphididea) were studied under laboratory conditions. The parasitoid female started parasitizing its host 6 hrs post emergence. Highest activity of the females was recorded at 25°C. Percentage of emergence attained 89.44±4.52%, when the parasitoid females aged 1-4 days. Developmental time of the parasitoid immatures ranged 11-13 days from mummy's formation to adult. The parasitoid adults emerged from the all nymphal instars but with the lowest numbers (57.59±31.25) from the 4<sup>th</sup> instar, while the highest (90.03±7.66) was from 3<sup>rd</sup> instar. The mean sex ratio was 1♂:1.06♀.

**Key words:** Aphelinus albipodus, Hyalopterus pruni, Biological aspects.

#### INTRODUCTION

In Egypt, aphids comprise one of the numerous insect groups of which the economic importance increases with the development of agriculture (Stary, 1976). Aphids are serious pests attacking several host plants (Kindler *et al.*, 1991). The aphid species, *Hyalopterus pruni* (Geoffroy) feeds on *Prunus* trees as primary host and on the gramineous plants; *Arundo donax* L. and *Phragmites australis* (Cav.) as secondary hosts (Jerraya, 2003).

Hymenopterous parasitoids of aphids have provided spectacular success in biological control (Stary *et al.*, 1988 and Sahand *et al.*, 2014). The genus *Aphelinus* (Hymenoptera: Aphelinidae) comprises 84 recognized species (Noyes, 2011). The species, *Aphelinus albipodus* Hayat & Fatima [formerly *Aphelinus* sp. nr. *varipes*; Hayat & Fatima (1992)] was collected from the Russian wheat aphid, *Diuraphis noxia* in the vicinity of Tahcheng, People's Republic of China and imported to the USA in 1992 for biological control of the latter pest species and then introduced to Egypt in 2001 for the biological control of cereal aphids (Adly *et al.*, 2006).

Knowledge of thermal constants and lower development thresholds provides essential information to determine the development rate of a particular species of arthropods (Jaroík *et al.*, 2002). Thermal constants are frequently used to create predictive models of pest development in various environments greenhouses and orchards (Graf *et al.*, 1996). Many studies have focused on *A. albipodus* fecundity and searching behavior (Bai and Mackauer, 1990 and Tatsumi and Takada, 2005) and some others (Bueno and van Cleave, 1997 and Adly *et al.* 2006) studied the effect of temperature, host age, density and parasitoid female age on development, parasitism and biological aspects of *A. albipodus*.

The present study aimed to evaluate the effect of temperature, host stage, parasitic female age and density on biological aspects of the parasitoid, *A. albipodus i.e.* parasitism rate, emergency rate, longevity and sex ratio.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

# Rearing of the aphid and its parasitoid

A start colony of the mealy plum aphid, *Hyalopterus pruni* (Geoffroy) was collected from giant the cane weed *Arundo donax*, located at wastewater bodies. Collected aphid was reared on the same host under the laboratory conditions of 25±2°C and R.H. 65±5%. Fresh *A. donax* weed seedlings were grown in a laboratory cage (1x1x3 m) and then infested with *H. pruni*. The parasitoid *A. albipodus* was collected from the same area of the host and was identified according to (Stary *et al.*, 1997). The parasitoid was reared on the aphid culture under the same laboratory conditions in separate cage.

## Effect of temperature and parasitoid female age

To evaluate some of the biological aspects of A. albipodus, 3<sup>rd</sup> instar nymphs of the aphid (in three

replica*tes*) were exposed to female parasitoid aged 6hr, 12hr, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 days after emergence. Each treatment was carried out at three different temperatures; 20, 25 and 30°C. Newly parasitized nymphs of each treatment were kept individually in small glass vials under the same temperature till adult emergence. Parasitism rate, emergency rate, sex ratio and longevity of the parasitoid male and female were estimated under the tested thermal conditions.

## Effect of exposing period, host density and host stage

The experiments were carried out to evaluate the effect of host density (25, 50 and 100 3<sup>rd</sup> instar nymphs), host instar (2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup>, and 4<sup>th</sup> nymphal instars) and exposing periods (12, 24 and 48 hrs) to the parasitoid female. Each treatment was replicated three times with three female parasitoids. Interactions among the three factors were measured as parasitism rate, emergency rate, sex ratio and longevity of the parasitoid under the laboratory conditions of 25°C and 65% RH.

### Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using the General Linear Model (GLM) Procedure (SAS Institute, 1988) and using an analysis of variance (ANOVA) to test significant deference (p < .005) and estimate LSD among the treatments.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

# Effect of temperature and parasitoid female age on parasitoid biological parameters

Results in table (1) indicate that both the temperature and the parasitoid female age affected the parasitoid biological parameters such as parasitism rate, longevity and sex ratio. At all the tested temperatures, *A. albipodus* females succeeded to parasitize their exposed hosts 6 hrs post emergence and the females could recognize between the parasitized and non –parasitized hosts. Parasitization rate increased gradually during the first four days, recording parasitized host numbers between 8.67±2.08 and 23.56±0.19. The time from mummy's formation to adult emergence was correlated with temperature within the range of 20–30°C (Table 1). Highest activity of the parasitoid female was recorded at 25°C and average numbers of parasitoid emerged was recorded at the same table. However, the results also indicated that emergence rate attained 89.44±4.52% at all the parasitized host individuals emerged when the parasitoid females' age ranged between 1 and 4 days.

Table (1) shows also that the life span from laying eggs to adults lasted a period ranged between 11 and 13 days. Longevity increased at 20 C and decreased at 30 C. Parasitoid female age and temperature affected the number of emerged parasitoids. Obtained data are in agreement with those of Adly *et al.* (2006) who reported that *A. albipodus* developmental time from egg to adult ranged between 12.45 and 13.55 days. Temperature is known to have a strong effect on these parameters (Steenis, 1994). In this respect, Bernal and Gonzalez (1996) stated that the total developmental time (from egg to adult) in *A. albipodus* increased from 10.1 days at 29.4°C to 27.9 days at 15.5°C and adult parasitoids did not emerge from mummies at 10°C. In Aphelinidae, ambient temperatures have been shown to have a significant effect on the offspring sex ratio (Stary, 1988). Fecundity of aphelinid females was known to vary with ambient temperatures and other factors. Julio *et al.* (1997) found that offspring sex ratio was highest at 21.1°C (although it was insignificantly different from that at 10 °C). Moreover, King (1987) reported that the offspring sex ratio commonly declined with age in female parasitoids.

## Effect of exposure period, host density and host stage on parasitoid biological parameters

Biological parameters are known to be affected by nymphal host instars, host density and exposure time. *A. albipodus* didn't develop successfully in the 1<sup>st</sup> nymphal instar as well in the adult of *H. pruni* as shown in table (2). The aphid as host was exposed to the females parasitoid for three periods of 12, 24, 48 hours and the results indicated that the mean numbers of mummies produced by an individual female at each instar was recorded in table (2). *A. albipodus* female could parasitize 14.78±2.39 individuals from 25 exposed at the exposure period of 12hr. Female activity decreased when the exposed host number was increased from 25 to 50 and/or 100 nymphs. When the host was exposed for 24 and 48 hrs, *A. albipodus* preferred the 3<sup>rd</sup> nymphal instar for parasitism. The parasitoid female could increase its activity when the exposed host period increased.

Data in table (3) showed that the parasitoid immature stages lasted longer at the highest numbers of the host density and longevity decreased gradually when the number of the host was decreased. Parasitoid longevity ranged between  $9.85\pm4.71$  and  $12.05\pm0.79$  days at all the tested exposure times. In general, means at all the exposure times, parasitoid emergence rate did not reach 50%. The parasitoid emerged from the  $2^{\rm nd}$ ,  $3^{\rm rd}$  and  $4^{\rm th}$  nymphal instars spent shorter time than in  $4^{\rm th}$  instar, with  $9.89\pm4.71$ days. Highest numbers emerged were in  $3^{\rm rd}$  instar recording  $90.03\pm7.66$ . Moreover, *A. albipodus* general mean of the sex ratio was  $7.27\pm1.30$  for female

Table (1): Effect of parasitoid's age and temperature on some of the A. albipodus biological parameters

Female's	Mean ± SE									
age	Temp. C	Mummies	% Emergency	% Parasitism	Longevity	Male	Female	Sex ratio		
	20	17.00±2.00	76.98±8.53	68.00±8.00	12.67±0.58	6.33±0.58	6.67±0.58	51.28±2.22		
6h	25	11.00±2.65	80.79±12.42	44.00±5.18	11.67±0.58	4.33±1.53	4.67±1.53	51.85±3.21		
	30	8.67±2.08	55.20±15.60	34.67±4.08	11.33±0.58	2.67±1.15	2.33±1.53	44.44±9.62		
Mean		12.22±2.24	70.99±12.18	48.89±5.75	11.89±0.58	4.44±1.09	4.56±1.21	49.19±5.02		
	20	24.00±2.01	94.44±2.40	96.00±11.29	12.67±1.53	11.00±1.12	11.67±0.58	51.45±1.25		
12h	25	24.00±2.11	97.22±4.81	96.00±11.29	11.67±0.58	10.67±0.58	12.67±0.58	54.30±0.22		
	30	22.67±0.58	91.10±4.65	90.67±10.67	11.00±0.00	9.67±0.58	11.00±1.73	53.02±5.06		
Mean		23.56±0.19	94.26±3.95	94.22±11.09	11.78±0.70	10.44±0.38	11.78±0.96	52.92±2.18		
	20	20.00±1.00	83.47±5.21	80.00±9.41	13.00±1.00	8.33±0.58	8.33±.58	50.00±2.94		
24h	25	21.33±2.08	92.25±5.12	80.00±9.41	12.33±0.58	8.33±3.06	11.33±2.52	57.89±13.67		
	30	18.00±1.00	92.58±3.21	85.33±10.04	11.67±0.58	8.33±0.58	8.33±0.58	50.00±1.21		
Mean		19.78±1.36	89.44±4.52	72.00±8.47	12.33±0.72	8.33±1.40	9.33±1.22	52.63±5.54		
	20	22.67±1.36	97.15±2.48	90.67±10.67	13.33±0.58	11.00±1.0	11.00±1.00	50.00±2.38		
48h	25	25.00±1.27	98.67±2.31	100.0±11.76	12.67±0.58	12.00±1.4	12.67±0.58	51.33±1.15		
	30	22.00±1.00	99.51±10.51	88.00±10.35	11.00±033	10.67±1.5	11.67±1.53	52.18±24.58		
Mean		23.22±1.03	99.11±5.10	92.89±10.93	12.33±0.38	11.22±0.72	11.78±1.03	51.17±9.37		
	20	25.00±0.00	98.67±2.31	100.0±11.76	13.00±1.00	12.00±1.35	12.67±0.58	51.33±1.15		
3 days	25	24.33±1.15	93.33±6.11	97.33±11.45	12.33±0.58	11.00±1.00	11.67±0.58	51.52±3.41		
	30	19.00±2.65	90.63±11.51	76.00±8.94	11.67±0.58	8.67±2.08	8.67±2.08	50.00±22.50		
Mean		22.78±1.27	94.21±6.64	91.11±10.72	12.33±0.72	10.56±1.03	11.00±1.08	50.95±9.02		
	20	13.67±1.53	87.62±4.84	54.67±6.43	13.00±1.00	5.67±0.58	6.33±1.15	52.57±2.22		
4 days	25	17.00±1.73	92.00±3.93	68.00±8.00	12.00±1.00	$7.00\pm1.73$	$8.67 \pm 0.58$	55.71±5.15		
	30	10.00±2.65	89.68±9.02	40.00±4.71	11.67±0.58	4.33±1.15	4.67±1.53	51.52±17.81		
Mean		13.56±1.97	89.77±5.93	54.22±6.38	12.22±0.86	5.67±1.15	6.56±1.09	53.27±8.39		
	20	5.67±3.51	46.30±11.57	22.67±2.67	13.33±0.58	0.67±1.15	2.00±1.00	86.67±23.09		
5 days	25	$8.33\pm4.04$	68.52±11.22	33.33±3.92	12.33±0.58	$4.00\pm1.00$	$1.67\pm2.08$	21.48±11.67		
	30	1.67±1.53	38.89±34.70	6.67±0.78	11.33±1.35	0.67±0.58	0.33±.0.58	16.67±8.34		
Mean		5.22±3.03	51.24±19.16	20.89±2.46	12.33±0.84	1.78±0.91	1.33±1.22	41.60±14.37		
	20	1.00±1.00	16.67±28.87	4.00±0.47	11.67±1.51	0.00±0.00	0.33±0.58	33.33±17.22		
6 days	25	1.67±1.53	38.89±34.70	6.67±0.78	11.67±1.30	1.00±1.00	0.00±0.00	0.00±0.00		
	30	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.00\pm.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$		
Mean		$0.89\pm0.84$	18.52±21.19	3.56±0.03	11.33±0.94	0.33±0.33	0.11±0.19	11.11±9.25		
LSD		1.74	13.28	6.44	12.67	1.08	0.77	14.41		

Table (2): Effect of exposure period, host stage and host density on parasitism and emergency ratio

Nymphal instar	Exposure period											
		12h			24h			Mean±SE				
Host No.	25±SE	50±SE	100±SE	25±SE	50±SE	100±SE	25±SE	50±SE	100±SE	•		
N2	12.78±2.39	16.00±2.74	17.78±3.46	15.33±1.87	29.44±3.71	71.78±4.47	15.33±2.18	39.89±3.14	78.89±2.71	33.02±2.96		
N3	14.78±2.22	20.56±2.92	24.78±6.08	16.89±2.52	36.89±2.62	78.22±4.52	20.44±1.59	46.44±3.84	87.22±3.15	38.47±3.27		
N4	1.89±1.83	4.56±4.19	6.44±3.43	5.63±5.15	8.89±4.11	12.78±6.42	6.78±5.67	10.89±7.30	10.00±4.90	7.54±4.78		
General mean	7.36±1.61	10.28±2.46	12.25±3.24	9.46±2.39	18.81±2.61	40.69±3.85	10.64±2.36	24.31±3.57	44.03±2.15	19.76±2.69		
LSD	2.46											
	% Emergence (emerged parasitoid/ mummies)											
Nymphal	Exposure period											
instar		12h			24h			48h		Mean±SE		
Host No.	25±SE	50±SE	100±SE	25±SE	50±SE	100±SE	25±SE	50±SE	100±SE	•		
N2	47.33±10.53	63.11±19.14	83.40±12.49	74.3812.60	85.46±8.96	90.75±4.06	76.86±8.51	83.22±9.01	95.17±2.82	77.74±9.79		
N3	89.08±8.56	84.94±8.61	86.40±11.83	90.2910.77	91.63±6.29	93.92±3.49	86.49±10.75	92.86±6.18	94.63±2.47	90.03±7.66		
N4	59.26±46.48	59.19±37.80	69.91±9.83	45.2638.92	63.07±25.98	65.64±26.56	44.47±36.63	52.79±32.82	58.71±26.26	57.59±31.25		
General mean	39.13±13.11	41.45±13.11	47.94±6.83	41.9912.46	48.03±8.25	50.06±6.82	41.56±11.18	45.77±9.60	49.70±6.31	45.07±9.74		
LSD	12.71											
	% Parasitism (emerged parasitoid/host number)											
Nymphal instar	Exposure period											
		12h 24h 48h						Mean±SD				
Host No.	25±SD	50±SD	100±SD	25±SD	50±SD	100±SD	25±SD	50±SD	100±SD	•		
N2	24.00±6.00	19.78±5.33	14.56±2.13	45.78±9.61	50.22±7.90	65.00±2.00	47.11±8.43	66.00±4.69	75.11±4.08	45.28±5.58		
N3	59.11±8.89	41.11±5.84	24.78±6.08	67.56±10.09	73.78±5.24	78.22±4.52	81.78±6.36	92.89±7.69	87.22±3.15	67.38±6.43		
N4	6.22±4.52	9.11±8.37	8.11±5.60	19.25±17.04	16.44±8.05	18.44±10.68	21.11±16.94	17.89±11.92	14.13±13.02	14.52±10.68		
General mean	17.87±3.88	14.00±3.91	9.49±2.76	26.52±7.35	28.09±4.24	32.33±3.44	30.00±6.35	35.36±4.86	35.29±4.05	25.44±4.54		
LSD	5.4											

Table (3): Effect of exposed period,	host stage and the host density on parasitoid longevity and offspring

					Longevity						
Nymphal instar	Exposure period										
		12h		24h				48h		Mean±SE	
Host Number	25±SE	50±SE	100±SE	25±SE	50±SE	100±SE	25±SE	50±SE	100±SE	Mean±SE	
N2	11.67±0.50	11.56±0.53	11.67±0.71	11.67±0.71	11.44±0.53	11.78±0.67	11.44±0.73	11.56±0.53	11.44v0.73	11.58±0.62	
N3	12.22±0.67	11.89±0.60	12.33±0.71	12.22±0.67	11.78±0.83	12.00±0.87	12.00±0.87	12.00±0.87	12.00±1.00	12.05±0.79	
N4	9.44±5.39	9.67±5.50	12.00±0.87	7.63±6.35	10.89±4.14	11.00±4.18	8.22±6.18	9.56±5.43	10.63±4.34	9.89±4.71	
General mean	6.67±1.31	6.62±1.33	7.20±0.46	6.30±1.54	6.82±1.10	6.96±1.14	6.33±1.55	6.62±1.37	6.81±1.21	6.70±1.22	
LSD	1.83										
					Male						
Nymphal	Exposure period										
instar		12h			24h			48h	M GE		
Host Number	25±SE	50±SE	100±SE	25±SE	50±SE	100±SE	25±SE	50±SE	100±SE	Mean±SE	
N2	3.11±0.78	5.00±1.41	6.89±1.27	5.78±1.48	12.67±1.73	32.11±1.17	5.78±0.97	16.77±1.00	37.67±2.00	13.96±1.31	
N3	6.56±0.88	8.68±1.30	10.00±2.00	7.89±1.62	16.56±1.59	36.98±2.62	9.22±1.64	21.67±2.04	42.00±3.04	17.73±1.90	
N4	$0.89\pm0.78$	1.78±1.48	.22±1.56	2.13±2.17	3.22±1.56	4.68±2.68	2.33±2.06	3.78±2.73	3.36±2.07	2.75±1.90	
General mean	2.11±0.49	3.11±0.84	3.82±0.97	3.16±1.05	6.49±0.98	14.76±1.29	3.47±0.93	8.42±1.23	16.66±1.42	6.89±1.02	
LSD					1.1	12					
					Female						
Nymphal					Exposur	e period					
instar	12h 24h 48h									Mean±	
Host Number	25±SE	50±SE	100±SE	25±SE	50±SE	100±SE	25±SE	50±SE	100±SE	SE	
N2	2.89±0.78	4.89±1.36	7.44±1.24	5.67±1.00	12.44±2.24	32.89±1.17	6.00±1.22	16.33±1.41	37.44±2.13	14.00±1.40	
N3	6.56±1.24	8.56±1.01	11.00±3.46	7.44±2.07	17.22±1.79	36.56±2.40	8.56±1.81	21.56±2.88	40.56±2.01	17.56±2.07	
N4	1.00±1.22	2.78±2.86	4.22±1.92	3.50±3.12	5.67±2.69	8.00±4.00	4.44±3.71	7.11±4.94	6.38±3.02	4.79±3.05	
General mean	2.09±0.65	3.24±1.05	4.53±1.32	3.32±1.24	7.07±1.34	15.49±1.51	3.80±1.35	9.00±1.85	16.88±1.43	7.27±1.30	
LSD	1.52										

and  $6.89\pm1.02$  for male  $(1.06\ : 1\ : 0)$  at all tested factors, *i.e.*, exposure time, host instar and density.

As shown in table (3), the parasitoid males emerged with an average rate of  $6.89\pm1.02\%$ , while it was  $7.27\pm1.30\%$  from the mummies emerged female parasitoids with a sex ratio of  $1 \cite{C}:1.06\cite{C}$ . Julio *et al.* (1997) reported that all *A. albipodus* females produced eggs which developed in hosts turned into mummies during the 1<sup>st</sup> day of adult life at 21.1 and 26.7°C. They developed also the life table and mentioned that the parasitoid fecundity was affected by a number of environmental factors and out of 202 parasitized mummies by *A. albipodus*, 93 emerged adults were females and 39 were males. In contrast to the present results, longevity of aphelinids depends on various factors among which are with special important of food and temperature (Jaroik *et al.* 2002). Zhishan *et al.* (2004) recorded that, from *Aphis glycines* parasitized host, a total of 21 females and 17 males  $(1.23\cite{C}:13\cite{C})$  of *A. albipodus* was produced from the collected mummies. On the contrary, Adly *et al.* (2006) reported that all the *A. albipodus* adults emerged were 100% females.

### REFERENCES

- Adly, D.; A. H. El-Heneidy; A. Agamy and M. M. El-Hussieni 2006. Life Tables of the Aphid Parasitoid Species, *Aphelinus albipodus* Hayat & Fatima (Hym.: Aphelinidae). Egypt. J. Biol. Pest Control, 16(2), 103-106
- Bai, B. and Mackauer, M. 1990. Oviposition and host feeding patterns by *Aphelinus asychis* (Hym.: Aphelinidae) at different aphid densities: Ecol. Entomol. 15: 9-16
- Bernal, J. S. and Gonzalez, D. 1996: Thermal requirements of *Aphelinus albipodus* (Hayat and Fatima) (Hym., Aphelinidae) on *Diuraphis noxia* (Mordwilko) (Hom., Aphididae). Appl. Entomol. 120: 631-638.
- Botto, E. N., Gonzalez, D. and Bellows, T. S. Jr 1988. Effect of temperature on some biological parameters of two populations of *Aphidius ervi* Haliday (Hymenoptera: Aphidiidae). In Gupta V.K. (ed.): Advances in Parasitic Hymenoptera Research: E. J. Brill. New York, pp. 367-377.
- Bueno, R., and H. W. van Cleave 1997. The effect of temperature and host density on the reproduction of *Aphelinus perpallidus* (Hymenoptera: Aphelinidae). Southwestern Entomologist, 22: 29–37.
- Graf, B., Höhn, B. G. and Höpli, H. U. 1996. The apple sawfly, *Hoplocampa testudinea*: a temperature driven model for spring emergence of adults: Entomol. Exper. et Applic., 78: 301–307.
- Hayat, M. and Fatima, K. 1992: Taxonomic studies on *Aphelinus* (Hymenoptera: Aphelinidae). 5. Description of a new species and further records of *Aphis gossypii*, with a new synonymy. Jourbook, Entomon., 17(1/2) Pages: 103-107.
- Jaroîk, V.; Honek, A. and Dixon, A. F. G. 2002. Developmental rate isomorphy in insects and mites: The American Naturalist, 160: 497–510.
- Jerraya, A. 2003. Principaux nuisibles des plantes cultivées et des denrées stockées en Afrique du Nord; leur

- biologie, leurs ennemis naturels, leurs dégâts et leurs contrôles. Edition Climat Pub, Tunisie, 415 pp.
- Julio, S.; Bernal, Waggoner, M. and Gonzalez, D. 1997. Reproduction of *Aphelinus albipodus* (Hymenoptera: Aphelinidae) on Russian wheat aphid (Hemiptera: Aphididae) hosts: Eur. J. Entomol. 94: 83-96.
- Kindler, S. D.; Breen, J. P. and Springer, T. L. 1991. Reproduction and damage by Russian wheat aphid (Homoptera: Aphididae) as influenced by fungal endophytes and cool season turfgrasse: J. Econ. Entomol., 84 (2): 685-692.
- King, B. H. 1987. Offspring sex ratio in parasitoid wasps. Q. Rev. Biol. 62: 367-396.
- Noyes, J. S. 2011. Universal Chalcidoidea Database. World Wide Web electronic publication. http://www.nhm.ac.uk/chalcidoids.
- Sahand, K. Khidr, Ian C.W. Hardy, Tania Zaviezo and Sean Mayes. 2014. Development of Microsatellite Markers and Detection of Genetic Variation between *Goniozus* Wasp Populations. Journal of Insect Science 14:43, 1-17.
- SAS Institute, 1988. SAS/STAT user's guide. SAS Institute, Cary, NC.
- Stary, P. 1976. Aphid parasites (Hymenoptera: Aphidiidae) of the Mediterranean area. Transactions of the Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Series of Mathematical and Natural Sciences, 86: 1-95.
- Stary, P. 1988. Aphelinidae. in Minks A. K. and Harrewijn P. (*ed*): Aphids, Their Biology, Natural Enemies and Control. vol. B. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp.185-188.
- Starý, P.; Lyon J. P. and Leclant F. 1988. Biocontrol of aphids by the introduced *Lysiphlebus testaceipes* (Cress.) (Hym., Aphidiidae) in Mediterranean France. J. Appl. Entomol., 105: 74–87.
- Stary, P., Pike, K. S., Miller, T., Allison, D., Boydston, L., Graf, G. and Gillespie, R. 1997. Small-grain aphid parasitoids (Hymenoptera: Aphielinidae and Aphidiidae) of Washington distribution, relative abundance, seasonal occurrence and key to known North American species. Environ. Entomol., 26 (6): 1299-1311.
- Steenis, M. J. Van, 1994. Intrinsic rate of increase of *Lysiphlebus testaceipes* Cresson (Hym., Braconidae), a parasitoid of *Aphis gossypii* Glov. (Hom., Aphididae) at different temperatures. J. Appl. Entomol. 118: 399-406.
- Tatsumi, E. and H. Takada 2005. Evaluation of *Aphelinus asychis* and *A. albipodus* (Hymenoptera: Aphelinidae) as biological control agents against three pest aphids. Appl. Entomol. Zool. 40: 379–385.
- Zhishan, W., Keith, R. H., R. J. O. Neil; D. J. Voegtlin; D. R. Prokrym; G. E. Heimpel 2004. Reproductive compatibility and genetic variation between two strains of *Aphelinus albipodus* (Hymenoptera: Aphelinidae), a parasitoid of the soybean aphid, *Aphis glycines* (Homoptera: Aphididae). Biological Control 31, 311–319.